UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.	<i>)</i>)
CARLOS CONJO-BERERA	Case No: <u>DNCW506CR000057-002</u>
	USM No: <u>21025-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: October 7, 2008	ý
Date of Last Amended Judgment:	Pro se, Thomas Norman Cochran
	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Re	eduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imp subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	ed States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. o account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
·	is reduced to
A COURT DETERMINATION OF CHIPPINE DANG	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG Original Offense Level: 43	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Original Offense Level: 43 Criminal History Category: I	Amended Offense Level: 43 Criminal History Category: I
Original Guideline Range: Life	Amended Guideline Range: Life
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELI	<u> </u>
 The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline ran The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline ran 	age. In the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time is than the amended guideline range.
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dat	ed October 7, 2008 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: July 2, 2012	Michael Tomber
Effective Date:(if different from order date)	Richard L. Voorhees
(11 different from order date)	United States District Judge